You don't often get email from

Dear Sir,

In response to your email inviting comments regarding a letter dated 14th December.

I & many others still have huge concerns over the damage this scheme will have on wildlife in the area. In particular impacts on Stone Curlew & NE having no evidence to support their unusual advice. I am concerned the surveys looking at this have been incomplete. The Prime Minister said under the Environmental Improvement Plan 2023 "Under this plan we will protect 30% of our land & sea for nature. We will launch a new multi-million pound Species Survival fund, targeted at protecting our rarest species". If this scheme goes ahead this will go totally against what the Prime Minister said!

Sunnica are giving the impression this scheme has been driven by careful consideration of land choice, this simply isn't the case. Some of the land owners made their land available but others put their land in the scheme because they were threatened with compulsory acquisition of their land.

The land this proposal on is good agricultural land, land which up until now has produced food crops year after year, food that we desperately need to avoid importing from other countries. I am also concerned that the grading of the land in their assessments & that it may not be a true reflection of the actual soil quality. We should not be putting solar panels on high quality farmland, or forcing people to sell their land against their will.

Landscape considerations are not at the heart of this scheme, or the environment, wildlife, safety of the communities (risk of battery fires) or even net zero because there is nothing green about the scheme, examinations by experts have shown that it is unlikely to be carbon neutral in its lifetime. Then the next generation will have the mess of decommissioning the vast amount of redundant solar panels.

Jo Cant